SPIE Photonics West: Light-Emitting Diodes: Materials, Devices, and Applications for Solid State Lighting XVI, San Francisco, USA, 21-26 January, 2012



### Simulation of light-emitting diodes for new physics understanding and device design

### Sergey Yu. Karpov

STR Group – Soft-Impact, Ltd (St.Petersburg, Russia)

# STR

### Lessons of ABC-model

- interplay between recombination channels
- figure of merit for LED structures
- ways to improve LED structure performance
- Quantum corrections in LED modeling
  - quantum potential approach
  - LED structure with short-period superlattice active region
- Toward 'green gap' understanding

# STR

### Lessons of ABC-model

- interplay between recombination channels
- figure of merit for LED structures
- ways to improve LED structure performance
- Quantum corrections in LED modeling
  - quantum potential approach
  - LED structure with short-period superlattice active region
- Toward 'green gap' understanding

### ABC-model and processing of experimental data

$$j = j_{SR} + j_{rad} + j_{Auger} , \quad \eta = j_{rad} / j$$
$$\frac{j_{SR}}{qd} = An = n/\tau , \quad \frac{j_{rad}}{qd} = Bn^2 , \quad \frac{j_{Auger}}{qd} = Cn^3$$

here q is the electron charge, n is the electron/hole concentration in the active region, d is the width of recombination region,  $\tau$  is the carrier life time, B and C are the radiative recombination and Auger recombination constant, respectively, weakly dependent on n



ABC-model:

### Interplay between recombination channels

contributions of various recombination channels to the total current density:

$$f_{SR} = \frac{\dot{j}_{SR}}{j} = \frac{\dot{j}_m}{\dot{j}_m + \dot{j}_{rad}} (1 - \eta) , \quad f_{rad} = \frac{\dot{j}_{rad}}{j} = \eta , \quad f_A = \frac{\dot{j}_A}{j} = \frac{\dot{j}_{rad}}{\dot{j}_m + \dot{j}_{rad}} (1 - \eta)$$



## Determination of recombination constants



if one of the recombination constants, for instance B, is known, the other ones can be obtained from the contributions of various recombination channels in the total current density



#### Quality factor as a figure of merit for LED heterostructures



#### Applicability of the ABC-model to analysis of III-nitride LEDs



there is a wide practically important range of the current densities where Q-factor is nearly constant, in line with the ABC-model; beyond this range, the model is no longer applicable to LED structure analysis



## Localized and delocalized states in InGaN quantum wells



### IQE of InGaN SQW LED structure vs current density and temperature



### Ways to improve the LED structure performance

increasing quality factor

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0

shift of j<sub>m</sub> to the practically important region

 $C = 2x10^{-31} \text{ cm}^{6}/\text{s}$  $\tau = 10 \text{ ns}$ , d = 3 nm

 $B(cm^3/s)$ :

150

5x10<sup>-12</sup>

1x10<sup>-11</sup>

**2x10**<sup>-11</sup>

6x10<sup>-11</sup>

200

 $Q = B(\tau/C)^{1/2}$  $j_m = q dB/\tau C$ 



materials quality improvement, leading to longer carrier life times: no reason for violet and blue LEDs increasing B constant:, cavity effects, bandgap engineering (indium surface segregation during MOVPE should be accounted for)

100

Current density (A/cm<sup>2</sup>)

50

#### Effect of indium surface segregation on InGaN QW profile





Indium surface segregation results in:

- $\checkmark$  delayed indium incorporation at the bottom QW interface
- indium tail in the AlGaN or GaN cap layer  $\checkmark$

**Experiment:** C. Kisielowski et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 36 (1997) 6932

Ways to control segregation:

- ✓ indium deposition prior InGaN QW growth
- growth interruption
- temperature ramping, etc.

improvement of the overlap between electron and hole wave functions requires understanding of indium surface segregation effect on the QW profile

Theory: R. A. Talalaev et al., Phys. Stat. Solidi (c) 0 (2002) 311

### Ways to improve the LED structure performance

increasing quality factor

Internal quantum efficiency

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0

shift of j<sub>m</sub> to the practically important region

 $C = 2x10^{-31} \text{ cm}^{6}/\text{s}$  $\tau = 10 \text{ ns}$ , d = 3 nm

> *B* (cm<sup>3</sup>/s) : 5x10<sup>-12</sup>

> > 150

1x10<sup>-11</sup>

2x10<sup>-11</sup>

6x10<sup>-11</sup>

 $Q = B(\tau/C)^{1/2}$  $j_m = q dB/\tau C$ 



materials quality improvement, leading to longer carrier life times: no reason for violet and blue LEDs increasing *B* constant: cavity effects, bandgap engineering (indium surface segregation during MOVPE should be accounted for)

100

Current density (A/cm<sup>2</sup>)

50



increasing recombination volume: the approach quite feasible for practical utilization

### How to increase the recombination volume ?

N. Gardner et al., Appl. Phys.



 using of short-period superlattice (SPSL) active region

D. A. Zakheim et al., Phys. Stat. Solidi (c) 6 (2011) 2340

# STR

### Lessons of ABC-model

- interplay between recombination channels
- figure of merit for LED structures
- ways to improve LED structure performance
- Quantum corrections in LED modeling
  - quantum potential approach
  - LED structure with short-period superlattice active region
- Toward 'green gap' understanding

#### Quantum corrections in LED modeling

quantum potential accounts for delocalization of electrons and holes in the LED structure



actually, the carrier transport is affected not only by the potential in a particular point but also by its distribution in the surrounding region

#### differential formulations

$$U_Q = -4\sigma^2 kT \frac{\nabla^2(\sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 - Bohm potential

 $U_Q = -\sigma^2 kT \nabla^2(\ln n)$  - Wigner potential

 $U_Q = \sigma^2 \nabla^2 U_C$  - Wigner-Kirkwood expansion

#### integral formulation

$$U_{eff}(r) = U_C + U_Q = \int d^3 r' \cdot U_C(r - r')G(r', \sigma)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \gamma \, \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_n kT} = \gamma \, \frac{\lambda_{DB}^2}{16\pi}$$

the values of  $\gamma$  from 2/3 to  $3\pi$  are used in practical simulations

### Testing and tuning of the quantum-potential model



## Band alignment in quantum-potential and drift-diffusion models

**MQW LED structure** 

#### http://www.str-soft.com/SimuLED/SiLENSe



electrons to p-region of an LED structure

## Comparative analysis of MQW and SPSL structure operation

D. A. Zakheim, et al., Phys. Stat. Solidi (a) accepted for publication

MQW structure: n-GaN contact layer, 5×(3 nm InGaN QW/10 nm GaN barrier), 20 nm p-Al<sub>0.15</sub>Ga<sub>0.85</sub>N electron blocking layer, 110 nm p-GaN contact layer

SPSL structure: n-GaN contact layer, 5×(2.5 nm InGaN QW/2.5 nm GaN barrier), 120 nm p-GaN contact layer



#### Macroscopic polarization and builtin interface charges



10<sup>11</sup>

50

on properties of the

well and barrier layers



InN molar fraction in InGaN

### Comparative analysis of MQW and SPSL structure operation



greater j<sub>m</sub> for SPSL structure, which is the evidence for a larger recombination volume, and reduced efficiency droop due to suppression of Auger recombination



#### High stability of the emission wavelength in SPSL structure









1000 mA

since carrier recombination occurs primarily in one QW in the MQW structure, slight modification of the well profile with the bias applied is responsible for blue shift of the emission spectra

narrower barriers and uniform distribution of the recombination rate among all QWs results in a minor bias effect on the band alignment and, hence, on the emission spectra

# STR

### Lessons of ABC-model

- interplay between recombination channels
- figure of merit for LED structures
- ways to improve LED structure performance
- Quantum corrections in LED modeling
  - quantum potential approach
  - LED structure with short-period superlattice active region
- Toward 'green gap' understanding

### **'Green gap' in the emission efficiency of III-nitride LEDs**



### Degradation of the LED structure quality factor with the wavelength

$$\boldsymbol{B} \propto \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\boldsymbol{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{e}(\boldsymbol{z}) \, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{hh}(\boldsymbol{z}) \right|^{2}$$

♣ decrease of the electron/hole wave function overlap with the wavelength may be attributed to the efficiency lowering in the range of ~430-520 nm

♣ at longer emission wavelengths, the efficiency decays faster then it is predicted theoretically – the evidence for additional mechanisms reducing efficiency ?



situation still remains ambiguous: theoretical estimates based on quantummechanical calculations disagree with available data of DLT measurements



**4** a figure of merit, the quality factor, is suggested to compare LED structures of various designs and emitting light at different wavelengths

further improvement of the materials quality seems to be no longer effective for increasing the emission efficiency of violet and blue LEDs

implementing the concept of large recombination volume, the LED structures with SPSL active regions are quite promising for reducing the efficiency droop and providing higher wavelength stability under current variation

Lecontrol of the value of radiative recombination constant by bandgap engineering, cavity effects, and other possible approaches seems to be beneficial for further improvements of the LED efficiency, especially in the green spectral range; a deeper understanding of indium surface segregation effects on the conduction/valence band profile is necessary for this purpose